1	HEARING ON PROBLEMS FOR MILITARY AND OVERSEAS VOTERS:
2	WHY MANY SOLDIERS AND THEIR FAMILIES CAN'T VOTE
3	
4	WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 2009
5	United States Senate
6	Committee on Rules and Administration
7	Washington, D.C.
8	
9	The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:05 a.m., in Room SR-301, Russell
10	Senate Office Building, Hon. Charles E. Schumer, Chairman of the committee, presiding
11	Present: Senators Schumer, Nelson, Chambliss and Roberts.
12	Staff Present: Jean Bordewich, Staff Director; Jason Abel, Chief Counsel;
13	Veronica Gillespie, Elections Counsel; Adam Ambrogi, Counsel; Brenna Allen,
14	Professional Staff; Lynden Armstrong, Chief Clerk; Justin Perkins, Staff Assistant; Mary
15	Jones, Republican Staff Director; Shaun Parkin, Republican Deputy Staff Director; Paul
16	Vinovich, Republican Chief Counsel; Michael Merrell, Republican Counsel; and Rachel
17	Creviston, Republican Professional Staff.
18	
19	OPENING STATEMENT OF CHAIRMAN SCHUMER
20	
21	Chairman Schumer. The hearing will come to order and good morning to
22	everyone and thank all the witnesses for coming and I want to thank my colleagues for
23	being here.
24	Saxby Chambliss, has played an active role and I know is very interested in this
25	issue, and I want to say we hope to get something done in a bipartisan way on this issue
26	because this is truly a bipartisan problem.
27	And my good friend Ben Nelson, who wears really two hats. I am proud he is a
28	member of our Committee, but he is also Chairman of the Armed Services Subcommittee
29	on Personnel and Readiness and I know he cares a lot about this issue and he is a great
30	legislator and gets a lot done so thanks for coming, Ben.
31	We will have opening statements from my colleagues after I finish.
32	Every couple of years, especially on those years ending in even numbers, right

before election time there is a large push to improve the process of military voting.

However, as soon as the election is over, too often we forget to continue to push for improve voting rights for military voters.

4.3

Let me say something clearly so everyone can hear it. Not this year. We have convened this hearing to uncover some of the major problems facing military and overseas voters and we hope to do whatever is necessary to clear it up so it does not happen in our next federal election in 2010.

Registration deadlines, notary requirements, lack of communication, mail delays, poor address information and state laws that put in place untenable mailing dates are all severe problems. We need to actively evaluate these problems so we can work in a bipartisan way to find solutions to the problems.

Today we will hear about that several studies that show how severe the problem is. It is more severe I think than most people realize. One of those is a new study we commissioned from the Congressional Research Service.

While the 2008 Election Assistance Commission post-election report will be released a few months from now, we wanted to see right now an initial snapshot of how voting went in the states with the largest number of military voters during the 2008 election.

We asked the Congressional Research Service to contact some of the largest military voting states and get initial data on the number of overseas ballots requested and the number of overseas ballots that were never eventually counted. We were able to get preliminary data in advance of the complete survey to be released later this year.

Here is what the data showed. It showed that up to 27 percent of the ballots requested by military and overseas voters were not counted and that is an astounding number that it should say to all of us, we can do a lot better.

Those are just the voters who actually were able to get their requests for ballots answered. There are probably many more who did not.

Studies from previous elections show that the military and overseas voters have one of the lowest level of recorded votes of all groups because it is so hard for them to vote.

And as you can see from the chart behind me, 63 percent of local election officials reported receiving completed ballots after the deadline had passed so they do not count.

The problem is compounded when 39 percent of military and overseas voters

receive their ballots too late to return them in time. They request them in a timely way, but by the time they get the ballot, they cannot send it. The deadline has passed for last day of absentee voting or whatever.

This number from this past election is up 14 percent from 2006 so the problem is not getting better. It is getting worse.

It is unacceptable that in the age of global communications many active military, their families and thousands of other Americans living, working and volunteering in foreign countries cannot cast ballots at home while they are serving overseas.

Imagine the frustration the soldier feels when he or she is stationed in Iraq or Afghanistan and when their ballot finally arrives, it is too late. Here they are risking their lives for us. They take that extra step to vote. They are not at home. They obviously have many other things on their minds. They request a ballot in a timely way and it gets there too late to vote. Imagine how that feels. They can fight and put their life on the line for their country, but they cannot choose their next commander-in-chief.

To put a human face on these numbers, I want to share a letter describing some true stories to the Overseas Vote Foundation during the 2008 election.

One military voter wrote, "I submitted two registration forms via standard mail in January 2008 to Texas and received no confirmation that my registration was received or processed. I did not receive ballots for the primaries or the general election."

Another soldier, this one from Alaska, said, "I hate that because of my military service overseas, I was precluded from voting."

Let me just repeat that because they just hits you at home.

"I hate," and this is a soldier serving us, "I hate that because of my military service overseas, I was precluded from voting."

The letters continues.

"Of all people, deployed service members should have a guaranteed ability to vote in the presidential election. The state simply made it impossible for me to vote."

One final voter was able to get a ballot but was unsure whether it was ever counted.

"I called my hometown voting office to get assistance," he wrote. "Every time I called they told me something different. I ended up doing three different things just to get my ballot and then I sent it in a week before the deadline. I am hoping that my vote was counted."

In each of these stories, you can hear the effort these service men and women made to vote, calling several times, submitting their ballots early, but to no avail. This is unacceptable and something we should not let continue.

So we are here today to learn more about the source of these problems. The report of CRS clearly indicates the problem exists and is growing. The hearing is devoted not to outlining the CRS report but to figuring out what we do about it.

First, we are going to hear from the Acting Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness. I understand that the Federal Voting Assistance Program is undergoing a period of transition and that it is currently operating under an acting director. Senator Ben Nelson and I just have sent a letter to Acting Under Secretary McGinn, one of our witnesses today, urging that a new director be put in place as soon as possible so we can get this moving.

We sent the letter to make it sure for the record that we believe that an effective Federal Voting Assistance Program is something very important to members of Congress and we want to work closely with the new director to ensure he or she receives the report from our respective committees.

Second, the leadership at the Department of Defense needs to use every available resource to increase the number of military voters who register, vote, and have that vote counted. This needs to be accomplished through a true assessment of the problems and an innovative approach to structuring voting assistance, improving technology, and informing Congress and the states what laws need to be reformed to make it easier for these soldiers and their families to vote.

There are a number of ways that the military can work to improve voting rights for members of the armed forces.

Recently, we requested that President Obama work with the Department of Veterans Affairs to provide voter registration support to the veterans they serve. But currently, the only federal offices that are required by statute to provide an opportunity to register and vote are the Armed Services Recruitment Centers. I am interested in finding out more about how that program works and whether it has been successful.

I must mention one more of our witnesses as I wind down our closing remarks. I would like to thank Lieutenant Colonel Joseph DeCaro from Florida, who is taking a very-there he is. He is not in uniform. I was looking for the uniform first. But he is

129	doing this as a volunteer even though he is on active duty and he is talking a very short
130	leave from his duties with the Air Force and is willing to speak about the difficulties he has
131	faced as a member of the Air Force stationed overseas and trying to vote.
132	And I think I speak on behalf of all of us when I say, Lieutenant Colonel, we
133	appreciate your service and we hope you know that you are performing an important
134	service today by telling your story here.
135	A final personal note. While we hear from members of the armed forces who have
136	encountered difficulties trying to vote, I do not find that you have to look far to find these
137	problems.
138	An intern in my Buffalo, New York office, Lisa Wickman, is a veteran. She was
139	on active duty in the Navy from 2001 to 2006. She was stationed in Guam and was on
140	shore duty during the 2004 election. Her problem was that she wanted to vote but did no
141	know she had to vote absentee.
142	Despite weekly updates on a series of other important matters, her officers never
143	gave her or her fellow sailors important information about how to vote.
144	Now, that should not happen, certainly not in the United States where elections are
145	a bedrock of our political system and we correctly have great praise and admiration for
146	members of the armed services.
147	So I look forward to hearing from all of you today.
148	We will now call on Senator Chambliss.
149	
150	OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR CHAMBLISS
151	
152	Senator Chambliss. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I appreciate you
153	calling this hearing today on an issue that is of critical importance to America, not just to
154	our men and women, but those folks that protect us every day need to have their rights
155	protected. By your calling this hearing today, we are taking an important step in that
156	direction.
157	Obviously I am substituting for Senator Bennett, who is was managing an issue or
158	the floor, and I would initially asked for unanimous consent that Senator Bennett's
159	statement be inserted in the record.

Chairman Schumer. Without objection.

L61	[The prepared statement of Senator Bennett follows:]
L62	Senator Chambliss. I was also privileged to serve as Chairman of the Personnel
L63	Subcommittee on Armed Services with my dear friend, Senator Ben Nelson, a couple of
L64	Congresses ago and still serve as a member of that Personnel Subcommittee and we have
L65	talked about this in Armed Services and we look forward to working with this committee in
L66	a bipartisan way, as you say, to address this issue.
L67	The challenge of assisting our military servicemen and women's participation in the
L68	electoral process is not new. Since our Nation's founding, we have called upon the men
L69	and women of the military time and again to defend the rights and freedoms we Americans
L70	hold sacred.
L71	Our soldiers are asked to leave family and home, travel to foreign and hostile lands
L72	endure hardships of every kind, and place their lives in peril for their country. So, Mr.
L73	Chairman, it is appropriate that we in Congress do all that we can to ensure that these brave
L74	men and women are able fully to participate in the cause that they devote their lives to
L75	protecting.
L76	Beginning with the Soldier Voting Act of 1942, Congress has sought legislative
L77	remedies to guarantee the voting rights for members of the armed services. The current
L78	law, the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act or UOCAVA, was
L79	approved by Congress and signed into law by President Ronald Reagan in 1986.
L80	UOCAVA sought to alleviate the difficulty of navigating the voting process for
L81	uniformed personnel and overseas citizens by standardizing the forms required of military
L82	voters to register to vote and request absentee ballots.
L83	Additional provisions ensured that the states would accept these standardized
L84	forms, the Postal Service would carry them expeditiously and free of charge, and that a
L85	presidential designee, the SECDEF, would be responsible for administering the program.
L86	Subsequent amendments included in the Help America Vote Act and various
L87	defense authorization acts have attempted to remedy some of the original Act's
L88	shortcomings.
L89	This hearing provides us with a fresh opportunity to examine how we are doing in
L90	accomplishing our goal to protect the voting rights of our servicemen and women.

Unfortunately it seems that our soldiers are not participating at anywhere near the levels

that we would like and this is unacceptable.

191

As you have shown there, Mr. Chairman, a 2006 survey, conducted by the Defense Manpower Data Center, found that only 22 percent of the estimated UOCAVA population participated in the 2006 election. Commentators have proposed any number of explanations for this shocking statistic. Some point to the continuing use of traditional postal services or "snail mail" to deliver voting materials to and from the field. Others call attention to the apparent ineffective assistance of the DOD's Federal Voting Assistance Program, which the Department's own Inspector General found to reach only 40 to 50 percent of military voters.

Again, I look forward to the testimony of our witnesses and hope that they can shed some light on why this may be the case.

Among the witnesses are those who are, or have been, military voters themselves and we thank you for your service to our country.

Additionally, we have election officials who serve a vital and often thankless job in ensuring that our elections run smoothly and securely. Nowhere is their job more challenging, or important, than in working with our men and women of the armed forces.

Finally, we have a representative of the Department of Defense. Our servicemen and women rely on the Department's Federal Voting Assistance Program to help them exercise their right to vote. I hope that we will hear an honest assessment of the program's execution of this very important responsibility and the results they have achieved.

Before closing, let me add a few comments based on my own recent experience in my election last fall. Georgia has a huge military presence. We have 13 military installations and I believe my State did a good a good job of reaching military and absentee voters in the general election in November. Georgia, as well as most other states, have an excellent procedure in place for general elections and, while I have some ideas about how these can be improved, I think in large part it worked very well.

Unfortunately, that was not the case in the run-off election in December. Lots of factors combined to make the run-off election especially difficult for military and absentee voters based on the delayed, official announcement that there would be a run-off, followed by a short time line to send and receive absentee ballots. I think this highlighted some of the weaknesses in the system, not necessarily in Georgia but across the country, and I believe that we can use that example to make improvements and find ways to ensure that our military and overseas voters are never disenfranchised.

225 Again, Mr. Chairman, thanks for holding this very important hearing and I look 226 forward to the testimony of our witnesses. 227 **Chairman Schumer.** Thank you, Senator Chambliss, and now Senator Nelson 228 who chairs a similar committee on armed services. 229 230 OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR NELSON 231 **Senator Nelson.** Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding this hearing. Obviously 232 it is one of the most important topics that we can deal with because of the importance of our 233 military men and women being able to vote and making certain that every vote counts. I 234 look forward to seeing the testimony. 235 Unfortunately I am not going to be able to stay for the entire hearing. But one 236 disenfranchised service member is one too many but, when two out of three ballots are not 237 counted for whatever reason, we have got a serious problem on our hands. 238 I know that a lot of the data on overseas voting needs to be improved and made 239 more complete and consistent from county to county and state to state so we can know 240 more about what is truly happening because of the inadequate information and data that we 241 currently get. 2.42 But I hope that we will find a way to increase coordination between the state, the 243 Federal Government, the military, and the overseas voters. If we can improve the 244 relationship and we find the way in which to expedite the process but make certain that it is 245 complete and is sufficient, then we will be doing the kind of job we need to do. 246 So I appreciate your interest in this and thank you for holding this hearing. 247 **Chairman Schumer.** Thank you, Senator Nelson. 248 We look forward to working with your subcommittee as well on this important 249 issue. 250 Now, I am going to introduce Gail McGinn. We have a vote at 10:30, but I think 251 we will be able to get through not only her testimony but questions before the vote because 252 I know you have another appointment. 253 Ms. McGinn is the current Acting Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and 254 Readiness. Her department oversees the Federal Voting Assistance Program office. Ms. 255 McGinn, previously served as Under Secretary for Plans and other positions at the 256 Department of Defense.

257	Ms. McGinn, your entire statement will be read into the record and you may
258	proceed.
259	
260	STATEMENT OF GAIL MCGINN, ACTING UNDER SECRETARY FOR
261	PERSONNEL AND READINESS, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,
262	WASHINGTON, DC
263	Ms. McGinn. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and distinguished members
264	of the committee.
265	I thank you for the opportunity to testify about the challenges our uniformed service
266	and overseas voters experience in exercising their constitutional right to vote and the
267	initiatives we have and continue to undertake to eliminate or litigate these challenges.
268	Our goals are the same. I think the department shares the committee's concerns
269	that the absentee voting process is sometimes daunting and discouraging to these voters.
270	I would note, Mr. Chairman, that I am a military spouse and my husband retired
271	from the Army. I did serve some time overseas with him and I got to experience overseas
272	absentee voting, although I hasten to add, we were at headquarters, so I am sure it was
273	much easier for us than for the rest of our constituency.
274	But the Department is dedicated to making the absentee voting process easier and
275	more straightforward for these citizens. Time, distance and mobility are the barriers that
276	make the absentee voting process difficult for our uniformed service members, their voting
277	age family members and our citizens who live outside the United States, barriers that are
278	not faced by citizens who vote at the polls.
279	First, there is time. There are certain actions, voter registration, absentee ballot
280	request and the return of the citizen's marked ballot that must be accomplished by specific
281	dates in order for the citizen's ballot to be counted. The amount of time a citizen has to
282	complete the process is driven by the schedule established by each state and is subject to
283	transit time in the postal system which may be extended when the individual is in a remote
284	location.
285	Second is distance. Our military and overseas voters frequently find themselves at
286	great distances from their voting residences. Many citizens are in areas where mail
287	service is limited, intermittent or non-existent.

Peace Corp workers, submariners, forward deployed service members and others in

remote areas may face periods of no mail service during the ballot mail period.

Third is mobility. Our military and overseas voters are a dynamic group. Where they are located today may not be where they will be located for the next election. As we are a Nation at war, our military members face a high operating tempo which includes undergoing individual and collective training, participating in exercises and deployments. Overseas citizens also frequently move as job opportunities take them around the globe.

The Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act, UOCAVA, safeguards the right to vote in federal office elections for absent uniformed service members and their families regardless of location, and U.S. citizens who are overseas. In the administration of the law, the director of Federal Voting Assistance Program works cooperatively with state and local election officials to carry out the provisions of UOCAVA to eliminate the barriers faced by UOCAVA citizens.

The challenges of serving these citizens emanates from several principle causes characterized, as I had mentioned, by time, distance, and mobility and are exacerbated by the fact that, for many, mail remains the primary method for UOCAVA citizens to vote.

Our federal system under which 55 states and territories independently administer their election procedures means that registration, ballot distribution, and voted ballot return regulations and deadlines are determined by a large number of independent jurisdictions, each of which have unique requirements that must be met in order to register, request a ballot, and ultimately have the voted ballot count.

The Department employs three critical strategies. First, we have forged and maintained valuable partnerships with all who can assist in the absentee voting process including State and local election officials who carry out the elections, the United States Postal Service, the Military Postal Service Agency, the Department of State, the Department of Justice, other federal agencies, and overseas citizens organizations and advocacy groups.

Second, we continue to provide and encourage use of electronic transmission options for registering to vote, requesting a ballot and returning a ballot.

Third, we work with states to promote the passage of legislation that can positively effect the ability of our UOCAVA citizens to successfully participate in the democratic process.

For many years the Voting Assistance Program has proposed legislative initiates to

state officials that would facilitate voting for our citizens. There have been many successes with some states enacting some or all of our recommended legislative initiatives.

Our legislative initiates for states and territories to improve ballot transit time are, first, provide at least 45 days between ballot mailing date and the date that ballots are due, give state chief election officials the authority to alter elections procedures in emergency situations, provide a state write-in absentee ballot to be sent out 90 to 180 days before all elections and expand the use of electronic transmission alternatives for voting material.

Currently 27 states, three territories and the District of Columbia provide at least 45 days between the ballot mailing date and the date ballots are due.

Seventeen states and the District of Columbia give chief election officials the authority to alter election procedures in emergency situations.

Twenty-seven states allow election officials to provide the state write-in a absentee ballot, and 47 states, three territories and the District of Columbia provide for the electronic transmission of voting materials for at least one part of the UOCAVA absentee voting process.

For the 2010 elections FVAP is pursuing the next generation of electronic tools to assist UOCAVA voters. These coordinated efforts have provided effective support for thousands of citizens; and while the mail does work for a large number of UOCAVA voters, we believe leveraging technology could be beneficial in removing some of the challenges voters experience.

Because each voter has a unique set of circumstances, the Department wants to provide as many alternative methods as possible for registering, requesting a ballot, and returning the ballot.

Clearly, the three areas for emphasis that you have identified in the letter that you sent to me, improved relationships and election officials, improved use of technology, and improved data on military voting are important ones that need to be continuously worked and we look forward to working with the committee on those issues.

I would like to thank you for your continued support of our service members, their families and our overseas citizens and all this committee has done to make it easier for them to vote.

I am happy to take your questions.

[The prepared statement of Ms. McGinn follows:]

353	Chairman Schumer. Thank you.
354	I am going to try to be brief so we can get questions in. You will hear a little buzz
355	when the vote starts which gives us about 15 minutes before we have to go vote.
356	Okay. You mentioned the letter Senator Nelson and I sent you expressing the
357	hope that the new Federal Voting Assistance Program Director who your office is currently
358	finalizing would be able to provide effective leadership in improving access. We want to
359	work with this individual.
360	And I know you cannot speak for the Secretary, but I want to know whether DOD is
361	going to provide the support and authority to allow the new director to make the necessary
362	changes.
363	Ms. McGinn. I believe that DOD will provide what support and authority that
364	director needs. I think this is a very very important topic for us in the Department.
365	By our record of investment in this in terms of military manpower for voting
366	assistance officers, the emphasis from the top both from the Office of the Secretary of
367	Defense, the Secretary of Defense and the military departments, we are trying to do
368	everything we can to make sure that our voters are not disenfranchised.
369	Chairman Schumer. One issue we need to be aware of is that the first federal
370	primaries in 2010 are about a year from now. So we do not have that much time.
371	Second, I would like to talk to you about the voting at recruitment centers which I
372	mentioned in my opening statement. As you know, one of the elements of the National
373	Voter Registration Act is that armed services recruitment offices be a voter registration
374	agency. It means that each potential recruit should be offered a voter registration form
375	and help in filling out the form.
376	Could you give us an update as to how the program is faring and do you know how
377	many potential recruits were registered to vote last year because of the program? If you
378	do not know that number, if you could find out that and submit it writing that would be
379	great.
380	Ms. McGinn. Mr. Chairman, in order to give you a full response, I need to submit
381	it in writing. I am aware that our recruiting offices are doing the registration that they are
382	supposed to be doing, but I do not have the full details of what you are asking.
383	Chairman Schumer. We need numbers to judge what kind of success we are
384	having.

385	Ms. McGinn. Absolutely.
386	Chairman Schumer. The Inspector General reports. Two weeks ago, the
387	Inspector General's Office released the 2008 evaluation of the Voting Assistance Program
388	and I understand that with the 2008 study, the Inspector General stated, quote, "We are not
389	making any recommendations in this report for improvement."
390	Now, I have read a number of Inspector General reports. It is sort of a rare day
391	when they do not make any suggestions. The question is: does that strike you as odd? I
392	saw by your face you sort of answered it.
393	Ms. McGinn. It is true they usually make recommendations and I have not
394	spoken with him personally so I do not know what that is a reflection of. I think what they
395	were doing was looking at the field to see the degree to which they were implementing the
396	instructions and directives that we have out there. Obviously there are improvements to
397	be made in many areas.
398	Chairman Schumer. Yes. The 2004 report concluded the Voting Assistance
399	Program was not effective and that because, quote, and this is the 2004 report, "Voting
400	assistance will always be a secondary duty. Senior leadership can expect significant
401	improvement only if a radically different approach is applied."
402	Has there been such a radically different approach, since that report which was four
403	years ago, applied?
404	Ms. McGinn. I think since 2004, Mr. Chairman, of course, I have not been in this
405	position since then.
406	Chairman Schumer. Right.
407	Ms. McGinn. But I have observed my colleagues and my leadership at work. At
408	least in the last four years, the command emphasis on this even to the extent of our previous
409	Deputy Secretary, whenever he want to an installation, would ask to see the voting
410	assistance officer, the number of training workshops that we have done, the web outreach,
411	the publicity outreach.
412	I would say in the last four years it has been quite substantial and that could be the
413	difference.
414	Chairman Schumer. Okay. Well, let us hope. It does not sound radical to me,
415	but maybe the Inspector General was exaggerating or using too strong language, but that is

something again we will want to look at.

417	Let us see. There was a recommendation, an effort that through the Federal
418	Assistance Voting Program to notify election officials when members of the military have
419	officially moved. That was the EAC survey, based on the EAC survey in 2006.
420	Do you know what the status of that recommendation is?
421	Ms. McGinn. No, I am afraid I do not.
422	Chairman Schumer. Could you get that to us in writing?
423	Ms. McGinn. Yes.
424	Chairman Schumer. And do you believe that kind of communication would
425	encourage the improved delivery of military ballots?
426	Ms. McGinn. I believe for local election officials to know where the military
427	member is very important. I have, in preparing for this hearing, read some studies that
428	said a percentage of ballots were returned because the address of the person was not
429	known. And I noted in my opening remarks that is one of our problems is the mobility of
430	our population. Whether that transmission of information would be the appropriate way
431	to do it or not, I do not know. I will have to get back to you on that.
432	Chairman Schumer. And this is your own personal assessment and this will be
433	my last question because my time is running out.
434	If we had one change to make this better, what would it be?
435	Ms. McGinn. I would say it would be to encourage states to have more
436	uniformity in their procedures so that there is not such a difficult explanation for each voter
437	as to the processes they need to follow.
438	Can I have two?
439	Chairman Schumer. You can have three.
440	Ms. McGinn. Okay. Good.
441	I also think that if we can improve technology which also goes to states accepting
442	the use of technology so that we can start to do this in a 21st-century way, that that would
443	be very helpful as well.
444	Chairman Schumer. Any third one? Those are the two most important.
445	Ms. McGinn. I have a third one.
446	Chairman Schumer. I knew you would come up with it.
447	Ms. McGinn. The third one would be that all of us agree on a data collection.

Chairman Schumer. Yes.

449	Ms. McGinn. So that we really have statistics about what is happening out there
450	because right now they come from many different sources.
451	Chairman Schumer. Good point.
452	Ms. McGinn. In our 2008 report we are going to ask our Defense Manpower Data
453	Center to provide the data through a survey of our service. Of course they are a world
454	class operation.
455	Chairman Schumer. You bet.
456	Ms. McGinn. So I think hopefully we can coalesce around those numbers and
457	then help us go forward.
458	Chairman Schumer. Thank you.
459	Senator Chambliss.
460	Senator Chambliss. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
461	Ms. McGinn, thank you very much for your work in this area.
462	I have got some questions that I wanted to address to you to let you discuss
463	generally the Help American Vote Act's requirements in connection with the UOCAVA as
464	well as the Federal Voting Assistance Program. I think what I am going to do is submit
465	that for the record because I would like for you to go into some detail particularly with
466	reference to ways that you think that legislatively we can improve it, do you have the
467	resources for what you need, and that sort of thing.
468	But let me drill down a little bit on the Federal Voting Assistance Program and the
469	voting assistance officers. The 2005 DOD IG report found that only 40 to 50 percent of
470	military families received voting information either from the Voting Assistance Program
471	and voting assistance officers.
472	What accounts for this poor performance? What do you think we can do to
473	improve it? And as part of that, would you talk a little bit about how VAOs are selected,
474	are they volunteers, and do we have a way of grading them. You talked a little bit in your
475	statement about your personal experience. Was that a mandatory requirement that you
476	train folks the way that you did that?
477	Ms. McGinn. The voting assistance officers, the way we want to get information
478	to people, my experience in all my years working for the Department of Defense is that it is
479	very difficult to get information to people even if they are situated in a headquarters

element.

So I think what the Federal Voting Assistance Program has tried to do is use all available mechanisms to do that with the establishment of a website, with the provision of monthly updates and all relevant information to the Federal Voting Assistance Officers, to posters and publications for federal voting and access to your federal voting assistance officer, through all the training. I think we have got 193 training workshops leading up to this last election, and through working with overseas foundations and groups to get the word out to American citizens.

So I think they have tried very hard in order to reach out to everyone and make sure that they have the information that they require. But again, you have got populations that are dispersed. You have got populations that may not have access to information sources for a while.

So that number is high. It would not surprise me that there are some who do not get the information they need. That number, 40 percent is an unacceptable number and I do believe that in the last few years the Department has really taken an aggressive stance at getting the word out to people.

The voting assistance officers, I do not know exactly the process by which they are chosen. I would assume that they are with the requirement to have, one, that you choose a young officer who is competent and has interest in this area for getting the job done for the unit or the installation or the organization where they are assigned.

We provide training. We provide workshops. We provide regular information, newsletters, voting assistance guides, as I said, website operations. So it appears to me to be a robust effort to get the word out and to use the voting assistance officers for that.

Senator Chambliss. One of our witnesses on the next panel suggests having DOD provide registration materials at locations where service members receive other support services like pay offices, ID offices, check-in at bases, and whatnot.

Has the Federal Voting Assistance Program considered that and what sort of implementation measures are you taking if that is the case?

Ms. McGinn. I honestly, sir, do not know if that has been considered by our Federal Voting Assistance Office. It is something that we can look at certainly.

Senator Chambliss. Well, it seems like that might be, again, one of those education measures that we can take advantage of because everybody that comes to a new base goes through that support service office.

513	Ms. McGinn. Yes, they do.
514	Senator Chambliss. Well, thank you for your good work and we look forward to
515	continuing to work with you.
516	Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
517	Ms. McGinn. Thank you, Senator Chambliss.
518	Chairman Schumer. Thank you, Senator Chambliss.
519	Senator Nelson.
520	Senator Nelson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
521	Obviously, technology is going to be extremely important in resolving, taking away
522	the challenges that exist because of time differences and the length of time for the ballots to
523	be transported back and forth. Preserving anonymity is going to be a major focus of that
524	am sure. But it probably does not solve mobility, of identifying where people are.
525	So I am hopeful that in light of the experience that has been gained in the last three
526	elections that perhaps there are some updates or revisions to DOD policy and procedures as
527	set forth in the DOD Directive Number 1000.04, dated April 14, 2004, that might help us
528	facilitate getting more success in voting by men and women in the military.
529	Could you comment on that?
530	Ms. McGinn. Well, we are constantly trying to upgrade our own ability to do
531	electronic work in order to facilitate the process. We have the ability right now for
532	citizens to get a copy of the postcard application electronically, to fill out the postcard
533	application electronically, to get a copy of the absentee voter ballot electronically, the
534	federal absentee voter ballot electronically. So we are trying to continue to improve that
535	I think that one of the lessons we have learned in going into elections is that, as we
536	start to improve technology and put technology solutions out there, we need to start soone
537	than we started in the past. I think that is one of the challenges that we will have, to
538	continually upgrade those solutions and to make sure that they are in a timely way so that
539	the states know that they are there and know the capability that they bring.
540	Senator Nelson. Is there a difference in how you might deal with local elections
541	that do not involve a federal election or every two or four years when you have a federal
542	election, is that handled differently?
543	Ms. McGinn. It is handled the same. I believe the difference is local elections

uniformed members and their families vote in and so we push the same kind of information

out to them. But I have noticed in, as I said, watching this program unfold for the last four years, that every two years there is this concerted effort. And of course, with the national election for the President, it is a little more heated, if you will, but there is still a level of effort that is very significant for elections every two years.

Senator Nelson. Of course you have the off-year elections in some states that do it in the odd years, not necessarily in the even years. I know it is a monumental, Herculean task to try to achieve it all.

But I would hope that the use of technology both at the election commissioner's office as well as within DOD would help facilitate it because obviously those statistics would demonstrate that timing is a factor getting materials to the voter and materials from the voter back to the point of the election.

Have you thought about any kind of federal requirement that might make counting ballots that come from overseas, extend the time frame for counting those ballots in local elections or state elections or federal elections?

Ms. McGinn. I think that is what we are trying to accomplish with the legislative issues that we have laid before the state as state issues. One is to extend 45 days for the receipt of the ballot and also to allow variations from procedures in special cases.

So I think we are trying to work with the states to do that. I do not know if that can be done nationally.

Senator Nelson. It probably could for a federal election. But I am not suggesting that we necessarily want to start dictating from Washington back to the states. But what kind of response are you getting from the states in connection with your suggestions?

Ms. McGinn. We have gotten responses from them. I detail it in my statement. I guess what I would note from my reading is that we have had a lot of success in the acceptance of fax technology, that a lot of states are accepting faxes along the various ways, steps in the process, the voting and registering to vote. And we are starting to see some success in the electronic area too but not as much and robust as in the fax. So maybe that portends of the future that that will start to improve as we go forward.

Senator Nelson. Now, would the fax be for registration as opposed to a ballot?Ms. McGinn. For registration, for receipt of the ballot, even some states will even accept it for the ballot.

577	Senator Nelson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
578	Chairman Schumer. Thank you again, Ms. McGinn, for your excellent
579	testimony.
580	Now, we have the second panel, but the vote has been called so I think it would be
581	wise to take a brief recess now. We will go vote and come back and hear from the second
582	panel.
583	Is that okay with everyone? Do you have any more questions, Saxby? Okay.
584	The committee will stand in brief recess.
585	[Recess.]
586	Chairman Schumer. Okay. Thank you, everybody, for your indulgence. The
587	hearing will resume.
588	First, I would ask unanimous consent that a statement from Senator Feinstein, our
589	former chair, previous chair, who has done a great job, be added to the record and without
590	objection it will be.
591	[The prepared of Senator Feinstein follows:]
592	Chairman Schumer. And second, Senator Roberts had asked to make a brief
593	statement. He has had a busy morning with Finance Committee and other things, and so
594	before our panel begins, I am going to call on Senator Roberts.
595	
596	OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR ROBERTS
597	Senator Roberts. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will take your advice to heart.
598	The chairman indicated that I could make this short statement prior to the panel testifying
599	and I apologize to the panel but only if I would shave. I plead extenuating circumstances,
600	Mr. Chairman.
601	I had knee surgery and I was laid up for about three weeks and the only thing I did
602	really was to watch Law and Order reruns. There are some things that you have to do but
603	other things you do not, and one is shaving. Since coming back, I have heard a lot of
604	commentary especially from folks like yourself and so I just decided to be stubborn, but I
605	will shave because of your taking my request and so we will just make that promise to you.
606	Chairman Schumer. Just to interrupt. There is a constituency of one when it
607	comes to beards as I have learned, and that is Frankie, your wife.
608	Senator Roberts. She says it is not that bad.

609	[Laughter.]
610	Chairman Schumer. Okay. You are way ahead of where I was when I grew a
611	beard.
612	Senator Chambliss. You have to look at what she is used to in that context.
613	[Laughter.]
614	Senator Roberts. I knew that was coming. Anyway, I am now the fourth stand
615	in for Sean Connery. The fourth stand in is the body that they roll over.
616	Chairman Schumer. Having played basketball with you, I know you have a
617	Connery-like figure.
618	Senator Roberts. That is because of all those blind-side moves that you used to
619	complain about.
620	Chairman Schumer. That is right.
621	Senator Roberts. Alright. At any rate, thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding
622	this hearing and thanks to the panel and we will be reading that very carefully. As a
623	Marine, I take this issue personally and it helps that we have 37,000 military men and
624	women stationed in Kansas. So this is an issue that is of real concern to me.
625	I find it very disappointing that with all the incredible technology we have today,
626	Mr. Chairman, that we ask for the military to vote the same way we have since World War
627	II, and I do not think that is right. They can check their e-mail, video conference with their
628	families, even upload the YouTube clips while deployed.
629	But despite all of these advances, we simply ask them to rely on a disparate system
630	of state rules and requirements and the mail system to track them down if they want to have
631	a say in our elections.
632	I think our service men and women certainly deserve more. In fact I do not think
633	anybody in the room would ever disagree with the idea that the men and women defending
634	our freedom deserve the right to have their votes counted. So let us give the tools to vote
635	once they have performed their civic duty. Let us make sure their votes are counted.
636	I have signed a lot of letters on this topic and I have sponsored and co-sponsored a
637	lot of legislation. There was one by John Cornyn that passed the Senate by unanimous
638	consent, but it was somehow dropped over in the other body, in the House.
639	And the media has certainly exposed some of the problems involved and we thank
640	them for that. So I hope we can get back. I think everybody has talked about the

641	bipartisan effort that we need here and I certainly support that. Maybe we can take the
642	Cornyn bill or Cornyn II if improved, and it is time we worked in that kind of a fashion to
643	make sure the votes of our service men and women are counted.
644	And I thank you, Mr. Chairman for allowing me to make this statement.
645	Chairman Schumer. Thank you for coming in and participating.
646	I now would like to introduce our five witnesses and ask them then to make their
647	statements. And we are honored to have everyone of you here.
648	First, Patricia Hollarn recently retired after 20 years as supervisor at elections at
649	Okaloosa County, Florida. She is a board member of the Overseas Vote Foundation. I
650	have to say she is regarded as one of the experts nationally in this area.
651	We thank you for coming and she has some New York roots as well, which I am
652	proud to acknowledge.
653	Mr. Donald Palmer is Director of the Division of Elections at the Florida
654	Department of State. He worked earlier as an attorney for the voting section of the Civil
655	Rights Division of the Department of Justice and as a legislative assistant in the House of
656	Representatives.
657	To whom was that?
658	Mr. Palmer. Mr. Feeney.
659	Chairman Schumer. Very nice.
660	And as a Navy intelligence officer. From 1998 to 2005 he served in the Navy's
661	Judge Advocate General Corp.
662	Lieutenant Colonel Joseph DeCaro, who both Senator Chambliss and I have
663	mentioned, is on active duty in the United States Air Force. He lives in Florida. His
664	remarks today are his own and do not reflect the views of the Air Force, the Department of
665	Defense, or the current Administration.
666	Lieutenant Colonel Decaro joined the Air Force in 1986, served at Hunter Army
667	Air Field in Georgia, Prince Sultan Air Base in Saudi Arabia, and the Al Udeid Air Base in
668	Qatar.
669	We thank you for your service, Colonel.
670	And Mr. Eric Eversole worked as a litigation attorney in the voting section of the
671	Civil Rights Division at the Department of Justice from 2005 through 2007, then served as
672	an advisor to the 2008 McCain-Palin campaign.

673 Mr. Eversole was an officer in the Navy's Judge Advocate General Corp in 1999 to 2001. 674 675 And last, but not least, Mr. Robert Carey is a consultant to business and government 676 whose experiences trying to vote while in the armed forces led him to join the National 677 Defense Committee to help other soldiers exercise their voting rights. He has been called 678 back to active duty three times since 2000. He has been awarded a number of military 679 honors. Thank you for your service. 680 We will begin with Ms. Hollarn. We will ask each witness to take no more than five minutes and submit without objection their entire statements into the record. 681 682 Ms. Hollarn. 683 684 STATEMENT OF PATRICIA HOLLARN, RETIRED ELECTIONS 685 DIRECTOR, OKALOOSA COUNTY, FLORIDA 686 **Ms. Hollarn.** Thank you. This is the first time in my memory that anyone has 687 maintained interest and purpose beyond election day in improving opportunities for 688 military voters anywhere and other American citizens overseas to register and vote. 689 So I am grateful to you, Senator Schumer, the Rules Committee members and staff 690 for allowing me to participate in this much needed effort for legislative action. 691 I not only have been working with UOCAVA voters and the problems they 692 confront for 20 years as the Supervisor of Elections in Okaloosa County, Florida, which 693 has an extraordinarily large military constituency, but I was also an overseas military 694 spouse who had these very same difficulties in the '60s and '70s during my husband's Air 695 Force career. 696 The problems actually began with voter registration particularly when a person is 697 not actually registered prior to leaving his or her legal voting residence. It is accepted that 698 each state is entitled by the Constitution to have its own election laws and requirements, 699 but it should also be accepted that the federal Uniformed And Overseas Citizens Absentee 700 Voting Act, or UOCAVA, must be applied in every state to persons who fall under 701 UOCAVA. 702 Unfortunately most of these affected persons are not at all familiar with the

entitlements of UOCAVA to take advantage of them in a timely manner in accordance with

the law and even more unfortunately many election officials are not sufficiently familiar

703

both with UOCAVA and its correct implementation.

This leads to confusion about legal voting residency for military personnel stationed within the United States and even worst for those already overseas.

The law absolutely provides several options in these cases but often neither the voter nor the election official is well enough versed to resolve the situation as the law permits. And this in turn either delays or denies a prospective voter his ballot.

Once registered, the UOCAVA voter's only responsibility is to provide his or her correct mailing address or other contact information to the election official. That voter is absent from his voting jurisdiction and must be kept informed by the election official; but in the case of the highly mobile military member, that is still a problem just as much for the voter as for the election official.

Returned undeliverable mail not only can deprive the voter from receiving a ballot but jeopardizes active voter status for the future as well and that starts the cycle of re-registration problems all over again.

Obviously the issue of receiving and casting a ballot with the issuance of it being counted is the ultimate problem. I believe it is fair to say that almost all election officials want this process to be successful as much as the voter does and yet issues that are beyond their control often prevent that from happening.

First, I would talk about elections schedules in some jurisdictions or states that do not allow enough time after the ballot, candidates and issues are certified and the printing of ballots can begin. Work to prepare the lists of eligible voters can be done ahead of this period, but updating is continual and maintaining accuracy add complexity. If there is not a minimum of 45 days that is the deadline for mailing UOCAVA ballots, the chances lessen every day for solving any delivery problems.

The law provides at least by FVAP request for the 45-day deadline for overseas voters' ballots only. However, with more and more TDY and deployment, temporary duty, TDY is temporary duty, and deployment overseas assignments given at the last minute to military members many whose records show that they are located in the United States are actually overseas temporarily during election time.

Either they run out of time to request a ballot, to notify the election's office where to send the ballot or there is great difficulty in receiving ballots by mail or even fax in remote or combat locations taking too much time to have the ballot received, cast and counted.

Some states have laws that require specific forms and procedures for requesting absentee ballots that are clearly cumbersome and create time and frustration problems for UOCAVA voters.

HAVA eased some of the problem by making the request for ballots through two general elections, but the unintended consequence of that was to result in an excessive number of ballots that were return as undeliverable. Those jurisdictions which added additional procedures to verify addresses no later than 90 days before an election improved ballot delivery considerably but the practice was not wide spread enough to reduce the failures.

Many voters now eligible under UOCAVA are the Reserve and National Guard members who are serving much longer on active duty than their former two-week active duty service in the past in jurisdictions with few or no standard military installations and few military or expatriate citizens on the voter rolls.

Election officials who have had no real experience with implementing UOCAVA rights do not realize how they must now do so.

Combined with the lack of information about registration and voting provided to these activated personnel, the amount of voting problems among this group in all likelihood exceeded regular active duty members.

All UOCAVA voters are subject to the problems traced to mailing ballots. While the U.S. Postal Service created a separate department, new and worthy procedures, and good outreach to election officials to help expedite ballots in 2008, their efforts ended at the three ports, Miami, New York and San Francisco where the military postal system took over.

It would not be totally fair to criticize the military postal system which must operate with insufficient resources under very difficult circumstances in many instances but delays in it are inherent to the timely delivery problem.

It is sufficient to say that mailing ballots as well as other election related pieces is still the biggest problem for receiving, casting and counting the ballots.

I can speak at much greater length about problems and even more so about

766 solutions and look forward to such an opportunity. I would like to, in the question and 767 answer period, respond to some of the questions that were asked to the Defense 768 Department and as well as to mention the electronic solutions that I think are possible. 769 [The prepared statement of Ms. Hollarn follows:] 770 Chairman Schumer. Thank you, Ms. Hollarn, for excellent testimony and 771 excellent service. 772 Mr. Palmer. 773 774 STATEMENT OF DONALD PALMER, DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF 775 ELECTIONS, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE 776 **Mr. Palmer.** Thank you, Mr. Chairman and ranking member. Thank you for 777 this invitation to discuss with you the challenges of military and overseas voters during the 778 voting process and the great strides that Florida has made to increase the access to that 779 voting franchise. 780 Florida makes every effort to meet the needs of our diverse population of 11.2 781 million registered voters and we are keenly aware of the particular needs of the military and 782 overseas voter and overcoming the logistical challenges that they face in fully participating 783 in our electoral system. As election administrators our job is to utilize the tools that you 784 provide us with legislation to maximize participation. 785 With the leadership of state and local election officials in Florida using alternative 786 means of transmission of ballot materials and the wisdom of the Florida legislature to 787 repeal the second primary, Florida has become one of the national leaders of facilitating 788 military and overseas voting participation. In this testimony I hope to provide some reasons for that increase of access to the 789 790 voting franchise. 791 First, the State of Florida requires the mailing of ballots to overseas voters 45 days 792 prior to a general election. In Florida we have removed the second primary, and 793 jurisdictions are able to provide 45 days for the transmission of ballots and to accept ballots 794 up to the 10 days after the election as long the ballot is signed and dated by election day. 795 In this era of "snail mail," despite the improved efficiency of the Postal Express 796 Service, allowing for 45 days for transmission is prudent and the additional window of time

after the election in which to accept ballots provides a safety valve to receive any ballots

that were delayed in the mail.

To allow a sailor on the ship or a soldier in the field the extra time to receive and return the ballot on time is absolutely necessary when relying solely on the mail service.

Second, State and local election officials in Florida have taken extra steps such as seeking updated addresses from FVAP and fully utilizing e-mail, fax, and the internet where appropriate, in the transmission of ballot materials to and from overseas voters.

In late September 2008, Secretary of State, Kurt Browning, traveled to the Middle East with other Secretaries of State to see firsthand how soldiers in the battle field receive and cast their absentee ballots. This was the first time the DOD has invited Secretaries of State to travel to the areas of operation in Iraq, Afghanistan and Kuwait to personally observe the absentee balloting process.

This trip provided Secretary Browning an opportunity to ask the men and women in the field directly what they really need to successfully vote when faced with the challenges of the mail system and other events swirling around them in the battle zone.

He heard that they would like to use their computers and electronic mail to return voted ballots. While many states including Florida allow the use of a fax to return voted ballots, he heard that many of these service members simply no longer have fax technology readily available to them. Instead, most, if not all, have access to a computer, a scanner, e-mail and to the internet. When possible, they often use electronic mail as a primary method of communication with their local election official and expressed a similar desire to use an e-mail to vote because of its simplicity.

We also heard from service members that they are often anxious and frustrated with the rapidly approaching election day because they are often left in the dark as to the status of their ballot. They are concerned whether or not the ballot will get to them and, if they did, whether the ballot will make it back in time.

At present there is no systematic way of finding out the status of their request or when the ballot had been sent or whether the ballot has a realistic chance of being received back in time.

Because many soldiers and sailors are relying on their e-mail and the internet to communicate with the outside world and to our election officials, they believe it would be helpful to receive regular updates on when their ballot request had been received, when the ballot had been set and when local election officials received their voted ballot.

Third, Florida has maintained a spirit of ingenuity and transparency to use the latest technology and encryption measures available to reach our remote voters. Florida is open and flexible to incorporate the newest technology in our voting systems by testing, certifying and employing the latest voting systems for its use by its citizens.

In this past cycle the Florida division of elections was able to successfully review and certify the project application offered by the Okaloosa distance balloting pilot primarily because of the foresight of legislators in giving local election officials the ability to utilize the secure use of the Internet for voting purposes.

I am very proud of the pioneering spirit of our bureau in its first of a kind review of the source code and security plan submitted by Okaloosa County and its vendor, Scytl.

Fourth, Florida recognizes the huge role that the Voting Assistance Officers and the role they play for the men and women in uniform to register and vote. States also have an unique opportunity to work with their National Guard units.

The Florida National Guard developed a small but effective program to include voting information with their deployment briefing and to send updates on voting information to deployed unit e-mail addresses. Prior to deployment, the National Guard provided units the necessary voting information unique to Florida while stressing the importance of maintaining e-mail or phone communication with their local election officials ensuring accurate address information and confirming ballot delivery.

The simple goal was to make each airmen, sailor and guardsmen election ready before they deployed and left U.S. soil, not after. This type of program could be easily implemented for deploying National Guard units across the country.

Fifth, Florida has developed a very close relationship with the United States Postal Service. In the run up to the 2008 election, Florida election officials met repeatedly with U.S. Postal Service representatives at the State and local level.

Together we explored different ways to use technology and properly prepare ballot envelopes to further streamline the postal mailing of the ballots. Together the Postal Service provided counties individual opportunities to design the ballot, to reduce error or confusion in the delivery and return process and use technology such as intelligent code to track absentee ballots while in the continental United States.

As a former military citizen stationed overseas and deployed on a ship where mail was delivered by the occasional COD leading on deck, I can assure you that these men and

women want to participate and vote despite the swirl of daily activity around them. I remember being deployed on a carrier in the Mediterranean during the 1992 presidential election wondering if my ballot would ever make it to me and back in time.

Often the men and women serving overseas are frustrated and concerned that their vote will not be returned in time to be counted. However they are committed to the mission and they will not complain. Therefore it is our responsibility to review the facts presented on overseas military participation and point to potential deficiencies and use the tools necessary to facilitate that vote.

870 Thank you.

871 [The prepared statement of Mr. Palmer follows:]

Chairman Schumer. Thank you Mr. Palmer.

Lt. Colonel DeCaro.

874 875

876

877

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

872

873

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

STATEMENT OF LIEUTENANT COLONEL JOSEPH DECARO, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

Lt. Colonel DeCaro. Chairman Schumer, members of the committee, thank you 878 for allowing me the opportunity to speak here today.

My name is Joseph DeCaro. I am a Lieutenant Colonel on active duty in the United States Air Force. I was born and raised in Chicago, Illinois, and entered military service in July of 1986 when I started basic training at the United States Air Force Academy.

I am testifying in my personal capacity and my views do not represent those of the United States Air Force, the Department of Defense, or the current Administration.

Even before I was old enough to vote, I believed that it is important for every American to be aware of who their elected officials are, for the electorate to stay informed on local, state and national issues, and to know the positions of their elected officials on these issues. I have always done this myself and I have done my best to cast my ballots during primary and general elections. However as a member of the armed forces, I have not always been home on or shortly before election day.

During the 2000 general election, I was on a temporary duty assignment to Hunter Army Air Field in Georgia. This was a forecast temporary duty and I requested an absentee ballot and that was how I voted that year.

On election night while conducting post-mission paperwork, members of my unit and I sat on the old B-47 alert ramp at the air field and listened to election results via FM radio. Most of us had cast our votes via absentee ballot; and as the process of determining the election dragged on and concerns over military absentee ballots were raised, we became concerned our votes might not be counted.

While I do believe our votes did count, it was frustrating to think that consideration and/or attempts were made to disenfranchise military members whose efforts protect and ensure that that very thing does not happen to other United States citizens.

From August to December of 2002, I was deployed to Prince Sultan Air Base, Saudi Arabia, as a task force liaison officer to the United States Central Command Combined Air Operations Center. This was a well established location and actually had an additional duty Voting Assistance Officer. It was through the Voting Assistance Officer that I received and cast an absentee ballot for the general election that year.

In December of 2003, I was deployed to Al Udeid Air Base in Qatar as the United States Central Command Joint Search and Rescue Director. This was a one-year deployment and I knew I would not be home to cast my ballot in person.

During my R & R leave during the spring of 2004, I went to the branch office of the county Supervisor of Elections and requested an absentee ballot.

During the months of August and September, in September the tempo of operations was very busy and I had to take a trip forward to Djibouti in the horn of Africa and a trip forward to Baghdad, Iraq. Both trips were several days in length and upon return from each, I expected my absentee ballot to have arrived, but that was not the case.

It was not uncommon for mail one way to or from home to take three weeks to arrive; and as the end of September approached, I was getting concerned that I might not have enough time to for my ballot to make it in before election day. Fortunately the telephone and internet connectivity at my deployed location was excellent.

In the beginning of October, I contacted the office of the Supervisor of Elections via the link on their website and requested the status of my absentee ballot. The office e-mailed back that my ballot had been mailed out and that I should have received it a month earlier. At that point I called my home base phone operator via the defense switching network and had them forward me to the branch office of the country Supervisor of Elections. I explained who I was and gave a synopsis of the e-mail traffic. The office

was extremely helpful but even in this era of modern communication my only avenue for voting was via hard copy absentee ballot that would go through the military and United States postal systems. With that as the constraint, the office immediately mailed out another ballot via priority mail and e-mailed electronic copies for me to review and shorten the turnaround time once the ballot arrived.

The Supervisor of Elections, Ms. Hollarn at the time, also personally e-mailed me about what was happening. Luckily the absentee ballot arrived about a week after the phone call and I sent it back the same duty day. Ms. Hollarn e-mailed me a week later to let me know that my ballot arrived. That was approximately two weeks before election day.

I am grateful for all the help the office of the Supervisor of Elections provided and for efforts and personal interest of Ms. Hollarn.

Following this deployment, I was fortunate enough to have continuous and reliable communication and that was key in being able to vote that year, but this was most certainly an added stressor to the environment in which I was working.

Every moment I spent researching and coordinating with state-side resources to be able to cast my ballot was against any personal time off. The mission is and always must be the main focus.

Being deployed to support and conduct combat operations is difficult as it is. I still had a family back home to worry back; and in addition to the normal trials and tribulations that are associated with military life, my wife and daughter were dealing with the aftermath of Hurricane Ivan during this period, a storm which caused damage to our home that I still had to repair when I returned from this deployment.

I cannot comment on the Soldier, Sailor, Airman or Marine who at a forward operating base without dedicated phone lines, no web connectivity and gets mail once a week. I think every American should do what they can to cast their ballot and make their voice heard.

As with many other citizens, I will continue to do this, but there should be a better way in which to cast their ballot while deployed.

This concludes my prepared remarks and I am happy to answer any questions.

[The prepared statement of Lt. Colonel DeCaro follows:]

Chairman Schumer. Thank you and thanks to Ms. Hollarn for helping you.

958	Mr. Eversole.
J J U	IVII. LIVEISOIC.

STATEMENT OF ERIC EVERSOLE, ATTORNEY

Mr. Eversole. Thank you, Chairman Schumer, Senator Chambliss. Thank you for allowing me to testify today.

We ask our military members and their families to make great sacrifices on a daily basis. We send them around the world to defend America's interests, our freedom and our liberty. We send them to places like Iraq so that the Iraqis may enjoy the same rights that we enjoy, like the right to vote.

But when it comes to their rights, when it comes to the military members' right to vote, we seem to forget their sacrifices and we deny them the very voting rights that we ask them to defend. The 2008 election is a case in point.

In Florida, for example 26 percent of 340,000 military members were able to request an absentee ballot. That is 26 percent of 340,000. That means that 74 percent never requested an absentee ballot and did not even get in the ballpark. That is 240,000 service members that never got a chance to receive an absentee ballot and most likely did not get a chance to participate in the election.

Figures in other states are very similar both at the rejection rate and the participation rate. And these figures are truly, truly a national embarrassment. The world's greatest democracy and we cannot ensure that our military members have an opportunity to vote in our federal elections. It is a national or a federal issue.

Sure, states could do a better job with the administration of the elections. All states should be required to mail out absentee ballots at least 45 before the election. I made that recommendation in my written testimony. I stand by it here today. But the real failure here, the area where we can make the most significant improvements, are all controlled by the federal government. The Department of Defense controls access to military installations and access to its service members. The Department of Defense knows where these service members are located. They know where these families are.

It is the Federal Voting Assistance Program's responsibility to provide these service members with voting assistance. In the same way it is the Department of Defense or the Military Postal Service Agency that is responsible for delivering these absentee ballots. They have the ability to expedite both the delivery there and the return back. And it is the

Department of Justice that enforces our Nation's voting laws.

In all three areas the federal government has failed. This has to be the starting point of any legislative solution.

First and foremost, the Federal Voting Assistance Program has to change its method for providing voting assistance. The current system which relies upon a voting assistance officer as a collateral duty does not work. The Inspector General reached that conclusion in 2004. He showed in 2006 that the number of service members that received information was still about 40 percent, less for family members, but little has changed.

If you want to increase military voter participation, FVAP has to provide voting assistance, as the Inspector General said, on a timely and consistent basis. They need the information when they move or deploy to a new installation or new post. Service members already have an obligation, as Senator Chambliss pointed out, to visit their pay and personnel office when they report to a new installation. They get a variety of federal forms when they are there. They most likely get a servicemen's group like insurance form to fill out. They may have to fill out a new W-2. They have to update their family's information.

They already fill out a variety of forms. One more form is not going to materially increase their burden, but it will ensure that that service member, when he is moved, will get a chance to update their registration in a timely and consistent manner. It is a small legislative change but a significant step forward.

Second, states have to mail absentee ballots at least 45 days before the election. I think every expert that has looked at the issue has agreed that 30 or 35 days is not sufficient. Again that is an area where the Uniformed and Citizens Absentee Voting Act will be modified with a fairly simple amendment, but it would make a significant difference in the approximate 20 states that do not provide 45 days.

And third, Senator Cornyn and Senator Wyden reintroduced the Military Voter Protection Act yesterday as a bipartisan bill. I believe that this bill is a very important component to any legislative solution and has a very simple mandate. It tells the Federal Voting Assistance Program and the Military Postal Service Agency that if a service member gets that ballot in the mail at a collection point four days before the election, that ballot will make it home. It is a guarantee of sorts. You can track it. You can rest assured that it is going to get home and I think that is a very important guarantee for many

1022 of the reasons that the lieutenant colonel was pointing out, and I think it should be 1023 implemented in a very timely manner so it can be implemented by 2010. 1024 With that said, thanks again for the opportunity to testify and look forward to your 1025 questions. 1026 [The prepared statement of Mr. Eversole follows:] 1027 **Chairman Schumer.** Thank you, Mr. Eversole. 1028 Mr. Carey. 1029 STATEMENT OF ROBERT CAREY, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, 1030 1031 NATIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEE 1032 Mr. Carey. Mr. Chairman, Senator Chambliss, thank you for inviting National 1033 Defense Committee to speak here today. 1034 The National Defense Committee is a grassroots military service organization 1035 focusing on individual rights of service members and strengthening the civil military 1036 relationship. 1037 Since 2003 the committee has made military absentee voting a flagship issue and, 1038 for the 2008 election, started the military ballot protection program to provide election day 1039 protection of military ballots threatened with unjustifiable challenge or rejection. 1040 I also have the honor of serving as a board member on the Overseas Vote 1041 Foundation. 1042 Additionally the National Defense Committee is a founding member of the 1043 Alliance On Overseas Voting Rights, an umbrella organization of more than 25 military 1044 veterans and overseas citizen or voting reform advocacy organizations committed to 1045 substantial voting reform in military and overseas voting processes. Many of their 1046 representatives are here today and I believe they join me in applauding the committee for 1047 holding this hearing. 1048 I personally became involved in the National Defense Committee in 2006 after my 1049 mobilization to the U.S. Navy Reserves just prior to the 2004 general election. Being 1050 mobilized two weeks prior to the election, I was unable to apply for an absentee ballot at 1051 my new delivery address and it was only by my taking leave at my mobilization 1052 preparation site, flying back at my own expense to New York City and voting in person, 1053 was I able to guarantee my right to vote.

My circumstances are by no means unique. Analysis of the 2006 election shows a significant systematic inability of military personnel to successfully cast their absentee ballots.

For example, while more than 85 percent of all absentee ballots were cast by the general voting population in 2006, only 26 percent of the absentee ballots requested by military personnel were successfully cast that year. That translates into 484,000 military voters who requested absentee ballots but did not successfully cast them.

Let me restate that. Military voters representing more than a third of the military asked for a ballot in 2006 and did not successfully cast them.

A close analysis of that data is clear and unequivocal as to the most significant cause for this voting failure. States send out their ballots too late for military voters and postal mail delivery is not and cannot ever be quick enough to deliver and return those ballots in time to meet the absentee ballot return deadlines.

The predominant absentee balloting system used for decades in this country, sending ballots 30 to 45 days prior to an election by postal mail, was designed for sending ballots across town to local voters not across continents and oceans to far flung, deployed military personnel.

Even after seven years operating in Afghanistan and five years operating in Iraq, the Military Postal System Agency tells military voters that their ballots needed to be back in the mail from these two countries at least 28 days prior to the 2008 election date, implying a 56-day turnaround for military mail.

For other overseas military voters, the Military Postal System Agency recommended no less than 21 days to return to the states, implying a 42-day turnaround.

In January of this year the PEW Center on the States released a ground breaking study entitled "no time to vote" which found postal mail delivery delays and tight ballot return deadlines to be the key elements in whether or not overseas military votes could successfully complete the absentee balloting process.

In all, PEW found 23 states do not provide enough time for overseas military voters to successfully cast a private ballot.

For example, because no stage in the New York military voting process can be conducted by electronic means, New York's overseas military voters require 82 days to navigate the absentee process, but they are only given 69 days to do so. For Utah, 88 days

1086 are required, but only 70 days are provided. 1087 Because of this, PEW concludes if voters from these "no time to vote" jurisdictions 1088 actually succeeded in voting, they managed to do despite their state's policies and practices, 1089 not because of them. 1090 Now, the Overseas Vote Foundation 2008 survey also found that 52 percent of 1091 those surveyed either received their ballot too late to return them on time or never received 1092 them at all. 1093 The National Defense Committee applauds the committee for holding this hearing. 1094 We note, however, that this is the sixth congressional hearing in which National Defense 1095 Committee members have either testified or submitted statements on military voting since 1096 2004 and we know of at least three others in that same period. At each hearing witnesses 1097 like us tells senators and representatives the same thing I have today. 1098 And it is scary that these comments closely mirrored those of President Truman in a 1099 letter he wrote in 1952 to the House of Representatives on exactly that these same 1100 problems, late ballot delivery, slow mail delivery. 1101 Frankly, little has been done to address these issues despite the consistent, repeated, 1102 and passionate description of the problem. We implore you to go beyond the problem 1103 exploration stage and pass federal legislation this year to overcome these tight ballot 1104 deadlines and slow mail delivery. 1105 We do applaud you holding this hearing today. It is important that we hold this 1106 especially in an odd number year, but we really need to start addressing this issue at the 1107 federal and state level in order to be able to make these changes permanent. 1108 Thank you. I stand by for your questions. 1109 [The prepared statement of Mr. Carey follows:] 1110 **Chairman Schumer.** I want to thank all five witnesses for excellent testimony. 1111 My first question is to the whole panel, particularly Ms. Hollarn and then Mr. Carey 1112 both of whom explicitly pointed out, you all did really, the problem, much of the problem 1113 does reside with the states, the differing systems each one has, the fact that they are not too 1114 quick to get out the ballots, et cetera. 1115 And of course, our power over the states on local electoral matters is rather limited. 1116 If you could make two or three suggestions as to how we importune the states to do

1117

a better job here, what would they be?

1118 I am first going to ask Ms. Hollarn, then Mr. Carey and then the other witnesses. 1119 **Ms. Hollarn.** I think that if you are looking at the actual casting of a ballot, the 1120 absentee ballot process, there are some states that still have cumbersome means where 1121 someone has to go through forms in the mail to request the ballot. If some just sends an 1122 e-mail or telephones or even sends something written, then they are sent the form to make 1123 the request, but that kind of excess paper and time wasting has a great deal to do with it. 1124 Without mentioning names, I know of three states that have procedures like that. 1125 So where we have the federal postcard application and that in itself needs serious 1126 revision because nobody reads the four point typed instruction to start with and it is also not 1127 written in a voter friendly manner. There is no explanation for some of the questions or 1128 anything like that. though. that I think are sufficient. 1129 So the paperwork can be reduced where I think there can be a uniform procedure 1130 for ballots being requested which eliminates some of it, but UOCAVA already addresses 1131 that to a degree. 1132 **Chairman Schumer.** Yes, with some success but not great success. 1133 **Ms. Hollarn.** I think a lot of it has to do with the enforcement. There are issues 1134 with the National Voter Registration Act that have to do with taking people off the rolls 1135 that are what I consider a total violation of NVRA and I have been exposed to them 1136 recently. So there is not very much follow-up by enforcement procedures and there is not 1137 consistent follow-up. 1138 **Chairman Schumer.** Ms. Hollarn, if a state has just an inherently cumbersome 1139 process form, new form, et cetera, is there any way we can force them to change that 1140 process at least for federal elections or at least for military voters or overseas voters or 1141 both? 1142 **Ms. Hollarn.** I think there can be some specific action taken in the revision of 1143 UOCAVA that would implement that. For instance, the federal post card application 1144 essentially is good. At least having it electronically available, that is one thing; and they 1145 have simplified some of the form and the instruction in that process. 1146 The fact of the matter is this opens up a black hole of problems with voting 1147 assistance officers and the things that perhaps the bureaucracy thinks are being done that

are not being done; and so I think the simplification of requesting a ballot--you know, one

of the things that is very poorly understood, very little understood is the ballot.

1148

1150	There seems to be often too often acceptance of the fact of "give me a ballot."
1151	Well, there are ballot styles that are dependent on the jurisdictions in which you are eligible
1152	to vote so we end up with a huge complexity of what ballot to offer the person, and the
1153	federal write-in ballot goes a long way to solving that problem and then there are states that
1154	have write-in ballots as well. Florida does, has a state write-in ballot.
1155	Chairman Schumer. Do you think if we forced the states to change the way they
1156	set up absentee ballot procedures for federal elections, they would then match it for their
1157	local elections?
1158	Ms. Hollarn. That has been the way, it starts out that way. I have to say, Florida
1159	is exceptional not just because I live there but it is because of the cooperative effort we
1160	have had in working, local election officials working with the state as well to not only pass
1161	conforming legislation but to reach out even beyond that and provide for even more than
1162	the federal legislation.
1163	Perhaps we are not talking about enforcement where you are going to put your
1164	hands around the states' throats. Education is the key and this is where the Federal Voting
1165	Assistance ProgramI think it starts with some specific measures in revising UOCAVA
1166	but then it goes to the education process with both the Federal Voting Assistance Program
1167	and the states.
1168	One of the reason though I continue to work past this so-called retirement that I
1169	entered is the education of election officials across the country in understanding the federal
1170	law and implementing it, and that is where I think the problem basically lies which is
1171	preferable to actual enforcement.
1172	Chairman Schumer. Mr. Carey. The same question.
1173	Mr. Carey. Mr. Chairman, actually I would say that Congress's constitutional
1174	authority to impose upon the states is pretty much unlimited. The Constitution gives the
1175	states the first right to determine the method of election but allows Congress to impose that
1176	UOCAVA itself is a limited imposition by the Federal Government on the states of
1177	procedures for military and overseas voting.
1178	Chairman Schumer. So you think we could pass a law on, let us say, how to treat
1179	military voters say, require separately, or overseas voters, I guess we do it for everybody,
1180	separate from other absentee ballot procedures.
1181	Mr. Carey. Yes, sir.

1182	Chairman Schumer. And would that apply to local elections and to state
1183	elections?
1184	Mr. Carey. It would not be able to be federally mandated to apply at this stage.
1185	You could, but at this stage it does not.
1186	Chairman Schumer. We could not do that and so the question is: Do we create
1187	more confusion by having two separate procedures?
1188	Mr. Carey. I think the state and local elections will always follow on the same
1189	dates as the federal election so anything you do on the federal elections will necessarily
1190	capture the state and local election.
1191	Chairman Schumer. Do most of you agree with that, Mr. Palmer, DeCaro,
1192	Eversole, that if we did it, first, should we do it, and if we did it, would the states follow
1193	with their local? I mean, it is a big question. I would like to do it. You know me.
1194	Mr. Palmer. Mr. Chairman, I think that my impression with other state election
1195	officials is that they feel that these voters are their voters and they want to provide their
1196	ballot to their voters. I think that there needs to be some leadership at FVAP and the
1197	Congress to develop a system of that ballot style, to provide that ballot to the men and
1198	women overseas and that is increased technology, it has increased resources, and it is
1199	leadership at the Federal Voting Assistance Program.
1200	It will need more resources to make it happen, but I can tell you that the ground
1201	swells of support among state election officials is that they want these voters. They feel
1202	that they are their voters. They will take care of them. We have to provide, I guess on a
1203	federal level and when I say we, the federal level needs to provide the resources and the
1204	ability for that to happen and I think only technology will do it because we have been
1205	dealing with "snail mail" for the last 60 years.
1206	Chairman Schumer. Anything to add either of the other two panelists?
1207	Mr. Eversole. I do. I do have some concerns about the Federal Government
1208	coming in and overriding all the states laws with respect to verifying their voters and
1209	assuring that the state procedures for determining residency and those types of things are
1210	actually enforced.
1211	From my perspective, the area where the Federal Government can come in and
1212	make a big difference is mandating 45 days. UOCAVA currently is unclear with that
1213	regard. Mandating 45 days obviously is a mandate to the states, but it has caused some

1214	problems in litigation. It caused some problems in New York recently, in New York 20th
1215	It caused some problems in Virginia. So that mandate would be helpful.
1216	Where I start to have some concerns is where you go in and override the state
1217	procedures for ensuring that the balloting, the state law is followed. And as far as I can
1218	tell, at least on the states I have checked, the rejection rate for military votes that are
1219	returned is really no different than the rejection rate for absentee ballots in the same state.
1220	For example, in Florida the military rejection rate for returned ballots was one
1221	percent, for military. It was one percent for regular absentee ballots.
1222	Chairman Schumer. Do you have anything to add, Colonel? You do not have
1223	to, only if you want.
1224	Lt. Colonel DeCaro. Yes, sir. The only thing I would comment on is the
1225	standardization for the military members. We are not from the same state. Even the
1226	same unit deploys with various states; and if you have numerous procedures to follow, you
1227	cannot possibly expect an additional duty voting assistance officer to be anything but a
1228	conduit for information. And if he cannot speak, he cannot speak.
1229	Chairman Schumer. Now, let us go to the federal level where we have much
1230	more of sort of a complete say. All of you have touched on various problems that are at
1231	the federal level that we can do a lot more about. So I am going to ask each of you this
1232	question. This will be my last because my time has gone over and I want to give Saxby
1233	time.
1234	If we could do one thing at the federal level, forgetting the states right now, but just
1235	one thing at the federal level, FVAP, what would you have us do to make it easier for our
1236	soldiers overseas to vote?
1237	We will start with Mr. Carey and work our way that way.
1238	Mr. Carey. Only one. Mandate the ballots be sent out least 60 days before they
1239	are due.
1240	Mr. Eversole. My one recommendation would be to implement what FVAP has
1241	refused to which is radical change in the registration and the absentee ballot request
1242	process. I think certain offices at DOD have to be designated voter registration agencies
1243	under section 7 in NVRA.
1244	Chairman Schumer. Thank you.

Colonel DeCaro.

1246	Lt. Colonel DeCaro. Sir, I would recommend that there is a way to leverage
1247	existing technology we have, i.e., the common access card that all military members have
1248	to use just to log in to an unclassified network, if it is possible to use something like that
1249	because we all have it regardless of location.
1250	Chairman Schumer. Good idea.
1251	Mr. Palmer.
1252	Mr. Palmer. Sort of related to that, I think there should be dedicated HAVA
1253	monies to sort of put pilot projects on the horizon to give states the ability to do this.
1254	There are demands and pressures on the HAVA dollars, local and state. And so if there is
1255	dedicated moneys for overseas and military participation, states will experiment.
1256	Chairman Schumer. And Ms. Hollarn.
1257	Ms. Hollarn. They have said it.
1258	Chairman Schumer. I know. But the good news is, none of the four are
1259	contradictory.
1260	Ms. Hollarn. Right. And I would say, all of the above.
1261	My concern is something that I am not sure how it is solved, but it does have to be
1262	solved on the federal level, and that is the fact that there is a disconnect between the Federal
1263	Voting Assistance Program or even the intent of Congress and what happens in the field,
1264	and that is caused a great deal by the Hatch Act.
1265	In other words, we have the misunderstanding of the difference between campaigns
1266	and running for office and election administration.
1267	Chairman Schumer. And voting.
1268	Ms. Hollarn. Yes. The voting is the connection between the two, but what you
1269	have is the fact that the VAOs are often very very restricted by commanders and the fact is
1270	that commanders are very unwilling to allow certain things be done because of the nature
1271	of the Hatch Act.
1272	Chairman Schumer. Right.
1273	Ms. Hollarn. Which is very very much-
1274	Chairman Schumer. That is an education issue as much as anything else.
1275	Ms. Hollarn. Yes, it is. So that is the only think I could add.
1276	Chairman Schumer. Good answers. Thank you.
1277	I thank the witnesses and now I am going to call on Senator Chambliss.

1278	Senator Chambliss. Thanks, Mr. Chairman. There seems to be general
1279	agreement that the 30 days that DOJ has mandated for sending out of ballots too short.
1280	Frankly, I like your idea, Mr. Carey, of 60 days but I see a practical problem.
1281	Florida has, as I recall, a September primary. New York has a September primary.
1282	What do we do with respect to states like that that have those late primaries and I may be
1283	wrong, but I was thinking Florida had a September primary.
1284	Ms. Hollarn. Well, ours was recently changed to ten weeks before the general
1285	election which right now is occurring the week before Labor Day. So basically we have
1286	only had that once and it was the last week in August, but the ten weeks would come out to
1287	be before Labor Day.
1288	Senator Chambliss. Still pretty
1289	Ms. Hollarn. Actually the process, the only thing that holds up any ballots in
1290	Florida now with more than enough time is litigation by candidates that have some issue
1291	with the certification of the ballot.
1292	As a matter of fact, in the last general election year, I got my ballots out probably
1293	like in 51, 52 days before the election and there were members of Congress that questioned
1294	whether I telling the truth or not, but yes, it was so.
1295	I think the Florida election schedule, especially since we have eliminated the
1296	second primary, does allow sufficient time, and it is when litigation holds up the
1297	certification of a ballot that has caused any problem.
1298	Senator Chambliss. Mr. Carey, you were going to say something there?
1299	Mr. Carey. New York is a leader among states in allowing an extended period of
1300	time after the election for the ballot to be returned, up to 14 days. So the 60 days can be
1301	met if you allow the ballots to be returned after the election, but it is that 60-day turnaround
1302	that is critical.
1303	Senator Chambliss. Was Florida's change because of there not being enough
1304	time there? Do you all have any independent knowledge of that?
1305	Ms. Hollarn. The Florida Supervisors of Elections have lobbied for years to
1306	eliminate the second primary and it was basically a surprise gift that happened in 2002 that
1307	we had a temporary suspension of it, but then we had a final elimination of it and we have
1308	been under a consent order in Florida since 1982 since there was litigation back then about
1309	the three elections in nine weeks that made every hallot for every election be impossible to

reach them so the consent order included that we had to count absentee ballots for ten days, from overseas for ten days after the election as long as they were dated or postmarked by election day.

And the reason that it was finally dropped was to make the election schedule more preferable in hopes that we could get Justice to eliminate the consent decree.

Senator Chambliss. Mr. Palmer, you mentioned that your Secretary of State heard from a lot of soldiers during his visit abroad that they wanted to return voted ballots by electronic mail. Now, in Georgia we have a photo ID requirement. I think in Florida you all had just implemented that or you are in the process of doing so.

How do you see this playing in from a practical stand point with relevance to security of that vote?

Mr. Palmer. Well, for absentee ballots obviously the individual goes through a verification process, but on all absentee ballots basically they will be comparing the signature to the signature on file at the local office. So there would not be a photo ID at the polls. So that is how that situation is remedied.

Senator Chambliss. What about the actual verification requirement? Is there anything other than matching the signature from the electronic vote?

Ms. Hollarn. Perhaps because I had the firsthand experience at it, Florida also has a law that was in place for commerce about accepting digital signatures. There is a difference between digitized and digital. And in the pilot project that we did, that is exactly what we used and they were all verified registered voters in order to obtain the ballot to start with.

And so in the absentee process, the only thing that is required is the signatures so those are all matched. But in the pilot project that we did, there was real time verification of the voter by entering certain information of the voter because in the kiosk environment, the voter registration is real time. Verification is real time so electronically I might say in a very broad sense, all things are possible in verifying a voter when you are looking at the kiosk situation, not from a personal computer, but from the kiosk situation. And although I have restrained myself for years from using the analogy to ATMs, I think visually that is the one way. Because now that we have done the kiosk process, there is a way to harden the voting process into something that would be similar to an ATM.

Senator Chambliss. What was your kiosk experience from the standpoint of

taking some of those abroad and letting soldiers vote that way?

Ms. Hollarn. Well, we had 100 percent enthusiasm and support from all of the voters and dismay from those who could not participate, but of course it was limited to our county because no one else wanted to participate. But the fact is what we did was, first of all, a pilot project so it required human observation because everything had to be documented and there had to be evidence of how this took place.

So it was, I think, and you have to understand that the process that we used is in operation in other parts of the world as well with the particular kind of system that we used and so it was 100 percent successful both from the electronic standpoint and from the voter standpoint.

And we visualized, those of us who are still involved in it, with taking this to another level with multiple states participating and in a combat zone, but of course this is the point where I have to say that I do not want federal funds and now the only way to do it is with federal funds, but it is for the federally covered voters of UOCAVA that we are talking about.

So there are all kinds of possibilities and you have heard the word "electronic" mentioned over and over again and I realize there are two sides to that story but my side listens to the other side and now we would like others to listen to our side.

Senator Chambliss. Lieutenant Colonel DeCaro, you are to be commended for being as vigilant as you were in making sure that your vote counted. How many E2s or E3s are going to follow the same procedure that you did and be as diligent as you were to get their vote counted in any election?

Lt. Colonel DeCaro. Sir, I cannot give you an empirical number, but I would hazard to guess very few. A very few would probably have the opportunity or just the wherewithal to say, I need to research this. I tie back to a voting assistance officer that is only as effective as he is to get the message out. You go to large organizations with a high operations tempo and that is just not going to happen. I will tell you right now, it will not be a focus.

As I said in my testimony, the mission is going to be the focus. It may be the smattering of an e-mail that goes out or a face-to-face conversation, but when you are in Iraq or Afghanistan or some other deployed location, it will not be visible.

13/3	Senator Chambuss. We have got an electronic voting method in Georgia that
1374	can be used. Frankly, I do not know how successful it was because we have not gotten the
1375	numbers from this year.
1376	But if that were available, do you think that there is the motivation on the part of the
1377	leadership in the military to try to make sure that the folks that are serving under them do
1378	cast their ballot or is it going to have to be an individual motivation factor that gets them to
1379	vote?
1380	Lt. Colonel DeCaro. Well, sir, it is going to be both. A commander cannot
1381	order an individual to go and cast the ballot, but he can definitely make that time available.
1382	Guys have opportunity to go to the chow hall. They have the opportunity to go to the
1383	exchange when they are down range. If these kiosks are brought to those common access
1384	locations, there is no reason at all members, as long as you are not at a forward base without
1385	that capability, would have an inability to cast the ballot.
1386	Senator Chambliss. Go ahead, Mr. Chairman. I may have one more.
1387	Mr. Carey. Senator, you did have a question about the E1 and E3 and we have
1388	some data on that as well. We can forward it on to your office, but the fact of the matter is
1389	that the E1s through E3s have a substantially higher rate of disenfranchisement than the
1390	rest of the military and a substantially higher rate of inability to get the ballots than the rest
1391	of the military.
1392	Chairman Schumer. We will ask you to submit that in writing just whatever
1393	information you have and we will add it to the record.
1394	Senator Chambliss. I did have one more, Mr. Carey. I am not picking on New
1395	York, but you used them as an example of being forward thinking with regard to that time
1396	framing, but apparently they do not have, New York does not have any electronic means
1397	for voting.
1398	Has there been any effort by the legislature there to deal with this or is it too hot to
1399	discuss in the New York legislature?
1400	Mr. Carey. I am not sure about any initiatives at the state level. Maybe some of
1401	the other panelists has some information about the state legislative initiatives.
1402	that in New York has had a number of broader issues regarding some of the
1403	implementation of Help America Vote Act and they have some policy differences with the
1 1 0 1	Faderal Covernment on that

1405	But you are right. They do not allow any part of the process, absentee ballot
1406	application, registration, absentee ballot receipt or transmittal to be done by any electronic
1407	means, fax or e-mail. And so the result is that it takes a really long time to navigate the
1408	New York overseas absentee voting process, upwards of 89 days when only about 70 are
1409	provided.
1410	Senator Chambliss. I will speak to the Senator from New York about that.
1411	[Laughter.]
1412	Mr. Carey. At this stage that is a state-level issue. It could be overcome by
1413	federal action, but at this point, it is a state-level issue.
1414	Senator Chambliss. Mr. Chairman, it has been fascinating and I would say that it
1415	has been encouraging, but I think it has been a little discouraging in some respects, but I
1416	will have to say that I want to commend all of you all for really keeping this issue moving
1417	and for you folks at the local level, Ms. Hollarn, Mr. Palmer, thank you for your endurance
1418	and your perseverance in trying to make sure that the folks that are your constituents are
1419	having the opportunity to vote, military or otherwise, but we have got some real issue, Mr
1420	Chairman, to deal with, but this has been an excellent hearing.
1421	Thank you for holding this hearing and thank you all for testifying.
1422	Chairman Schumer. Well, first, let me thank you, Senator Chambliss, for your
1423	interest in this issue which did not begin at this hearing for sure.
1424	I want to thank each of the witnesses. Very good testimony. I agree, New York,
1425	we were the last to comply with HAVA. We still have even in terms of voting we have
1426	those old voting machines. They have run out of parts. They cannot get the parts for
1427	them. And they have to cannibalize existing machines to do the parts. It is not a record
1428	that any New Yorker can be proud of in terms of how the State has been lagging behind no
1429	just in overseas voting and military voting but in the whole process.
1430	Having said that, I think the testimony has been excellent. There are a number of
1431	areas where legislation could improve the process for the voters as well as the stakeholders
1432	and I pledge myself to work with the minority, try to come up with a bipartisan bill. This
1433	is one that there should not be any divisions in terms of ideology or anything else and I
1434	think we can get this done in a bipartisan manner this year and we are going to be working
1435	with you, Saxby, with Ben Nelson and with Bob Bennett, our ranking member, to try and

1436 come up with something.

1437	So I want to thank our witnesses for testifying. It was great testimony as Saxby
1438	said and thank all of you for your service in one way or another and many of you for you or
1439	your spouse's military service as well.
1440	The committee has received a number of statements for the hearing record.
1441	Without objection, I ask that these statements be submitted for the record.
1442	[The information follows:]
1443	/ COMMITTEE INSERT
1444	Chairman Schumer. The record will be open for five business days for
1445	additional statements for members and the public. And if the witnesses have no objection,
1446	I will also have the record remain open for five days for additional questions for other
1447	members of the panel who may want to submit them to you if that is okay with all of you.
1448	Since there is no further business, the committee is adjourned in the hopes that both
1449	we can get something done and thanks for the witnesses today.
1450	[Whereupon, at 12.17 p.m., the Committee was adjourned.]